

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/425.636	10/22/1999	DOUGLAS OUONG	55197USA	9824

7590

05/10/2002

ARLENE L HORNILLA
OFFICE OF INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY COUNSEL
3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY
P O BOX 33427
ST PAUL, MN 551333427

EXAMINER
NGUYEN, HELEN

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1617

DATE MAILED: 05/10/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application N .	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/425,636	QUONG, DOUGLAS			
		Examin r	Art Unit			
	•	Helen Nguyen	1617			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app					
Period for Reply						
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. This communication is suit of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we use to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
3tatus 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 M	March 2002				
2a)□						
3)□	-					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
·	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 12-18</u> is/are pending in the application.					
. —	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
_	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
. —	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10 and 12-18</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
	·	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
.— Attachmen		. , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal F	r (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

The request for Continued Prosecution Application (CPA) of paper no. 13, filed March 06, 2002, is acknowledged.

The amendment of paper no. 10, filed February 12, 2002, is acknowledged.

Claims 19-32 are canceled in paper no. 10.

Claims 1 and 4 are amended in paper no. 8.

Claim 11 is canceled in paper no. 8.

Claim 9 is non-elected.

Claims 1-8, 10, and 12-18 are pending and presented for examination.

The Terminal Disclaimer of paper no. 12, filed October 5, 2001, is approved.

Title objection

The objection to the title of this invention made moot due to a replacement of the title in paper no. 10.

Obviousness Provisional Double Patenting

The rejection of record under Obviousness Double Patenting set forth in previous office action of paper no. 9, filed December 06, 2001, is hereby

Art Unit: 1617

withdrawn in view of the Terminal Disclaimer filed October 5, 2001, paper no. 12.

Claim rejection- 35 USC § 103

In view of the amendment of paper no. 10, filed February 12, 2002, claims 1-8, 10, and 12-18 stand rejected as indicated below.

- ❖ The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-8, 10, and 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Akashi et al. (US Patent No. 5,686,385).

Akashi et al. teach a microcapsule for easily handling and improving physical properties. The microcapsule comprises an agricultural active ingredient, including a pheromone (title, abstract, column 3, lines 35-36, column 5, line 47, and column 8, lines 12-17). The active is encapsulated with alginates by spray drying (column 8, lines 55-56, column 3, lines 64-66, column 6, line 45).

Art Unit: 1617

Akashi et al. further teach that auxiliary ingredients can be added to the microcapsule. Such auxiliary ingredients include a wetting agent, a surfactant, talc, and a UV absorbent (column 9, lines 55, 62, column 10, lines 1-2, and column 11, line 1).

Akashi et al. further teach delivery via dispersion of the microparticles in water (column 9, line 27).

However, Akashi et al does not teach desiccated microcapsules exposed to humidity and rehydrated and/or dehydrated.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to deliver a dispersion of alginate microcapsules containing pheromones to achieve the beneficial effect of handling and improving physical properties in view of Akashi et al.

As to the claimed exposure to humidity and rehydrating, it is well-known to one having ordinary skill in the art that spraying the composition into the environment, which has natural changes in humidity, would cause the composition to naturally dehydrate and rehydrate as the levels of humidity in the environment change. Further, Applicant has not shown that the means by which the humidity is formed is critical, and therefore the

Art Unit: 1617

natural environmental moisture produced through humid air and rain reads on Applicant's claims.

As to the claimed weight percent, it is within the skill in the art to select optimal parameters such as ratios or weight percents of components in order to achieve a beneficial effect. See <u>In re Boesch</u>, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 19880). Therefore, the ratios or weight percents instantly claimed are not considered critical absent evidence showing unexpected and superior results.

Conclusion

Claims 1-8, 10, and 12-18 are rejected.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helen Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's primary, Edward J. Webman can be reached at (703) 308-4432 or her supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached at (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the

Art Unit: 1617

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are

Page 6

(703) 308-4556 for regular communications and (703) 305-3592

for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Helen Nguyen Patent Examiner

May 8, 2002

EDWARD J WEBMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1500